



*	UNITED STATES DEPT MENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231		
1	08/870,7(2		V8
·	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	00/070,762 06/06/9	77DUFT	B 226/104
	11070707762 007001		
IPE		HM22/0121	EXAMINER
	022249 LYON & LYON LLP	HH22/0121	DEVI,S
	SUITE 4700		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1 0 2005	633 WEST FIFTH STREET	ET	1641
1 8 2005	LOS ANGELES CA 9007		
4	<b>g</b>		01/21/00
- AREC	Below is a communication from	m the EXAMINER in charge of this application	" ECE
DEMB	COMMISSIONER	OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	- OL
			APD
	·	ADVISORY ACTION	" " 2 0
	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		TECLIA
			TECH CENTER 16  APR 2 0  TECH CENTER 16  Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no
	a) is extended to run	or continues to run from	the date of the final rejection
	<ul> <li>b) a expires three months from the date of event however, will the statutory period</li> </ul>	the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this of for the response expire later than six months f	s Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no rom the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtain	ed by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the	proposed response and the appropriate fee.
	The date on which the response, the	petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of extension and the corresponding amount of the	of the response and also the date for the
	1.17 will be calculated from the date of	of the originally set shortened statutory period for	response or as set forth in b) above.
*	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with	h 37 CFR 1.192(a).	.*
		filed 12/34/Jovo has been considered	with the following effect, but it is not deemed
	to place the application in condition for all	owance:	
	1. The proposed amendments to the dail	m and /or specification will not be entered and th	e final rejection stands because:
	a. There is no convincing showing	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amen	dment is necessary and was not earlier
	presented.		•
	b. They raise new issues that would	ld require further consideration and/or search. (S	See Note).
	c. 🔲 They raise the issue of new ma	tter. (See Note).	
	d. They are not deemed to place	the application in better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	арреаі.		
	e. They present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims.
		, e . e	kanagaga sa 💰
	NOTE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•			
	2. Newly proposed or amended claims.		d in a separately filed amendment cancelling
	the non-allowable claims.	F. C. 3 60 A	• •
	3. Door the filing an appeal, the propose	ed amendment 🖾 will be entered 🗎 will not b	e-entered and the status of the claims will a
	De as follows:		CEIVE
	Claims allowed: NCCL		REC 2010
	Claims objected to: 1-6		, 'VH S 2 "ILI
	However:	me the following rejection(s): 35 U S C.	Jr. GROSELO
	Applicant's response has overgon	The the following rejection(s): $35 \text{ U} \cdot \text{S} \cdot \text{C}$	107(6) syretys 12 cl
	Jas. han and word	Sty dupant 1. (014) of	Cign of J. (1841).
	<ol> <li>The affidavit, exhibit or request for red</li> </ol>	consideration has been considered but does not	overcome the rejection because KIMK CF 1
	the chained milked introg implin	1. Jone (Fig. 1 & col. 1 / line) 38-30	o). The claimed mathed is at
•	· ·	side and because applicant has not shown good a	
	presented.		The second reasons with it was not bellet
	The proposed drawing competion	s  has not been approved by the examiner.	Chan A Share
			James ( House
-	☑ Other Indicor © Sun	noway (pyel m \$).	/// Janier C. Housel ///

JAMES C. HOUSEL ///8/QX SERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER